

Check-list for HE RIA, IA actions:

single-stage submission procedure and 2nd stage of a two-stage submission procedure

Research and innovation actions (RIA) — Activities that aim primarily to establish new knowledge or to explore the feasibility of a new or improved technology, product, process, service or solution. This may include basic and applied research, technology development and integration, testing, demonstration and validation of a small-scale prototype in a laboratory or simulated environment.

Innovation actions (IA) — Activities that aim directly to produce plans and arrangements or designs for new, altered or improved products, processes or services. These activities may include prototyping, testing, demonstrating, piloting, large-scale product validation and market replication.

Source: WP – General Annexes

| Part | Item to be checked | YES | NO | Comments |
|-------------------|---|-----|----|----------|
| A — Admissibility | Correct application form (downloaded from the electronic submission | | | |
| | system) | | | |
| | Full application completed, including all parts, mandatory annexes (if | | | |
| | obligatory: clinical trials, financial support to third parties, security | | | |
| | aspects, ethics self-assessment) and supporting documents | | | |
| | Applications readable, accessible and printable | | | |
| B — Eligibility | All beneficiaries registered in the <u>Participant Register</u> | | | |
| | All beneficiaries eligible for funding. Consortium includes at least 1 | | | |
| | independent legal entity from EU Member State and at least 2 other | | | |
| | independent legal entities from different Member States or | | | |
| | Associated Countries | | | |
| | Only eligible activities included (described in the call conditions and | | | |
| | focused on civil applications) | | | |
| | Comply with EU policy interests and priorities (environment, social, | | | |
| | security, industrial policy,) | | | |
| | Comply with ethical principles – ethics self-assessment included as | | | |
| | part of the application | | | |
| | A gender balance at all levels of personnel | | | |
| | Gender equality plan developed and published on the institution's | | | |
| | website (obligatory for all public bodies, research organisations or | | | |
| | higher education establishments) | | | |
| | Where the specific call/topic conditions allow for financial support to | | | |
| | third parties, the objectives and the expected results clearly described | | | |
| | (WP General Annexes) | | | |
| E — Documents | Application form Part A (filled online) | | | |
| | Application form Part B (narrative part - pdf) | | | |
| | Annexes and supporting documents (available in the submission | | | |
| | system - uploaded as PDF) | | | |
| | Detailed budget table provided for lump sum project proposal. | | | |



Sources:

<u>Application form – Part B</u> <u>Evaluation form</u>

| Part | Item to be checked | YES | NO | Comments |
|----------------------|--|-----|----|----------|
| Formal criteria | The structure of the template followed | | | |
| | Page limit 45 pages | | | |
| | If lump sum funding: 50 pages | | | |
| | Watermarked instruction pages removed | | | |
| | No hyperlinks used in the text | | | |
| | Font for the body text Times New Roman (Windows platforms), | | | |
| | Times/Times New Roman (Apple platforms) or Nimbus Roman No. 9 | | | |
| | L (Linux distributions) | | | |
| | The minimum font size 11 points | | | |
| | Standard character spacing | | | |
| | Minimum of single line spacing | | | |
| | Page size A4 | | | |
| | Margins (top, bottom, left, right) at least 15 mm | | | |
| | A list of sources used to generate content and citations, including | | | |
| | those generated by the AI tool | | | |
| 1. Excellence | Clarity and pertinence of the project's objectives (Can a non-subject | | | |
| | expert understand what is written after one reading? Is the text | | | |
| | jargon-free?) | | | |
| | The extent to which the proposed work is ambitious, and goes | | | |
| | beyond the state of the art | | | |
| 1.1 Objectives and | Soundness of methodology (concepts, models, assumptions, | | | |
| ambition | interdisciplinary approaches, gender dimension in research and | | | |
| | innovation content, quality of open science practices, sharing and | | | |
| | management of research outputs, engagement of citizens, civil | | | |
| | society, and end users) | | | |
| | Brief description of the objectives of the proposed work | | | |
| | Description why the objectives are pertinent to the work programme | | | |
| | topic | | | |
| | Are the objectives measurable and verifiable? | | | |
| | Are the objectives realistically achievable? | | | |
| | Description how the project goes beyond the state-of-the-art and is | | | |
| | ambitious | | | |
| | Description of position in terms of R&I maturity ('idea to application' | | | |
| | / 'lab to market'). Indication of the Technology Readiness Level, if relevant. | | | |
| 1.2 Methodology | Description of the overall methodology, including the concepts, | | | |
| (For guidance on | models and assumptions | | | |
| open science | Explanation how the methodology will enable delivery of the | | | |
| practices and | project's objectives | | | |
| research data | Reference to the challenges identified in the chosen methodology | | - | |
| management, | and how to overcome them | | | |
| please refer to the | Description of relevant research and innovation activities | | | |
| piease refer to tile | Justification of the interdisciplinary approach | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Integration of social sciences and | | | <u> </u> |



| | | 1 | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| relevant section of | humanities (SSH researchers have active role as WP or task lead? | | |
| the <u>HE Programme</u> | Planned project activities (tasks) clearly show presence of SSH | | |
| <u>Guide</u>) | disciplinary approach, theory, method?) | | |
| | Description of the gender dimension in research (e.g. gender is more | | |
| | than just saying that there are more women than men active in the | | |
| | project. Gender dimension refers to the fact that, for example, | | |
| | women are included in a study on healthy lifestyles and consumption | | |
| | behavior, because heart attack symptoms of women differ from | | |
| | those of men, and most studies have only focused on men.) | | |
| | Description how appropriate open science practices are | | |
| | implemented as an integral part of the proposed methodology | | |
| | Description how the choice of open science practices and their | | |
| | implementation are adapted to the nature of the work | | |
| | Data management plan planned to deliver by month 6 - how the | | |
| | data/research outputs will be managed in line with the FAIR | | |
| | principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable) | | |
| 2. Impact | Credibility of the pathways (e.g. planned activities, events, | | |
| | communication, dissemination, exploitation activities) to achieve the | | |
| | expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme | | |
| | Scale and significance of the contributions as a result of the project | | |
| | Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected | | |
| | outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and | | |
| | exploitation plan, including communication activities | | |
| 2.1 Project's | Description how the project results contribute to the outcomes | | |
| pathways towards | specified in the topic | | |
| impact | Description how the project results contribute to the wider impacts, | | |
| | in the longer term, specified in the respective destinations in the | | |
| | work programme | | |
| | Identification of the target groups that would benefit frrom the | | |
| | project's results | | |
| | Included only such outcomes and impacts where the project would | | |
| | make a significant and direct contribution | | |
| | Explanation (where relevant) how the potential harm can be | | |
| | managed | | |
| | Indication of the scale and significance of the project's contribution | | |
| | to the expected outcomes and impacts ('Scale' refers to how | | |
| | widespread the outcomes and impacts are. 'Significance' refers to | | |
| | the importance, or value, of the benefits.) | | |
| | Explanation of the baselines, benchmarks and assumptions used for | | |
| | the estimates | | |
| | Quantification of the estimation of the effects expected from the | | |
| | project, if possible | | |
| | Explaination of assumptions, reference to relevant studies, statistics. | | |
| | Description of the requirements and potential barriers arising from | | |
| | factors beyond the scope and duration of the project (e.g. | | |
| | uncertainty about the uptake of policy recommendations through | | |
| | the ruling governments) | | |
| | Indication of factors that might evolve over time | | |
| | Description of the proposed mitigating measures | | |
| 2.2 Measures to | Description of the planned measures to maximise the impact of the | | |
| maximise impact - | project | | |
| i maximise impaci - | project | | |



| Discomination | A first version of the plan for the dissemination and evaluitation of | T | |
|---------------------------------|---|------|--|
| Dissemination, exploitation and | A first version of the plan for the dissemination and exploitation of | | |
| · • | project results, including communication activities | - | |
| communication | Description of the planned dissemination, exploitation, and communication measures | | |
| | | ┼──┤ | |
| | A more detailed plan for the dissemination and exploitation of | | |
| | project results, including communication planned by month 6 | - | |
| | Description of how the communication measures promote the | | |
| | project throughout the full project, inform and reach out to society, and show the activities performed, and the use and the benefits for | | |
| | citizens (strategically planned activities, clear objectives, statement | | |
| | of the main messages, tools and channels reaching the chosen target | | |
| | groups) | | |
| | Measures are proportionate to the scale of the project containing | | |
| | concrete actions to be implemented both during and after the end of | | |
| | the project (e.g. standardisation activities) | | |
| | Description of the target group(s) addressed (e.g. scientific | | |
| | community, end users, financial actors, public at large) | | |
| | Description of the possible follow-up of project, once it is finished | | |
| | Explanation of why each measure is best suited to reach the target | | |
| | group addressed | | |
| | Description of the path for how to commercialise the innovations (if | | |
| | relevant) | | |
| | Justification of how the exploitation is in the European Union's | | |
| | interest; if it is expected primarily in non-associated third countries | | |
| | Description of possible feedback to policy measures generated by the | | |
| | project that will contribute to designing, monitoring, reviewing and | | |
| | rectifying (if necessary) existing policy and programmatic measures | | |
| | or shaping and supporting the implementation of new policy | | |
| | initiatives and decisions (relevant for call topics asking specifically for | | |
| | policy recommendations). | | |
| | Description of the strategy for the management of intellectual | | |
| | property and foreseen protection measures (patents, design rights, | | |
| | copyrights, trade secrets, etc.) and how these would be used to | | |
| | support exploitation | | |
| 2.3 Summary table | Project impact pathway present and well-explained | | |
| (Is it coherent with | Specific needs listed | | |
| the remaining part | Expected results of project listed | | |
| of the impact?) | Dissemination, exploitation and communication measures applied to | | |
| | the results | | |
| | Target groups (use of further up-take of results, benefit from the | | |
| | results) | | |
| | Outcomes (expected change after successful dissemination and | | |
| | exploitation of results) | | |
| | Impacts - expected wider scientific, economic and | | |
| | societal effects (e.g. the project results contribute to restore | | |
| | democratic values) | | |
| 3. Implementation | Quality and effectiveness of the work plan | | |
| (Is it coherent with | Assessment of risks | | |
| excellence and | Appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages (e.g. SSH | | |
| impact part?) | partners in leading roles, present in all work packages, various EU | | |
| | Member States spread throughout work packages) | | |



| | Appropriateness of the resources assigned to work packages and | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | overall | | |
| | Capacity and role of each participant | | |
| | Extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the | | |
| | necessary expertise (involvement of stakeholders from different | | |
| | disciplines and backgrounds) | | |
| 3.1 Work plan and | Brief presentation of the overall structure of the work plan | | |
| resources | Timing of the different work packages and their components (Gantt | | |
| resources | chart or similar) | | |
| | Graphical presentation of the components showing how they inter- | | |
| | relate (Pert chart or similar) | | |
| | Detailed work description: | | |
| Table 3.1a: List of | List of work packages | | |
| work packages | List of work packages | | |
| Table 3.1b: Work | Description of all work packages (WPs) | | |
| package | The number of WPs proportionate to the scale and complexity of the | | |
| description | project | | |
| acsorption | Breaking WPs into tasks | | |
| | Description of activities | | |
| | Quantification for progress monitoring | | |
| | Resources and person months assigned to each WP justified and in | | |
| | line with their objectives and deliverables | | |
| | WP on 'project management' – data management plan , update | | |
| | planned | | |
| | WP on 'dissemination and exploitation, communication activities' | | |
| | Plan for dissemination and exploitation including communication | | |
| | activities, update planned | | |
| Table 3.1c: List of | List of deliverables | | |
| Deliverables | Numbers of deliverables in relation with WP numbers | | |
| Table 3.1d: List of | List of milestones | | |
| milestones | List of fillicstoffes | | |
| Table 3.1e: Critical | List of critical risks for implementation | | |
| risks for | Details of any risk mitigation measures | | |
| implementation | Details of any risk medigation measures | | |
| Table 3.1f: | Number of person months required for each WP and participant | | |
| Summary of staff | WP leader in bold | | |
| effort | William Solu | | |
| Table 3.1g: | Description and justification of subcontracting costs for each | | |
| Subcontracting | participant | | |
| costs | | | |
| Table 3.1h: | Justifications for 'purchase costs' for participants where those costs | | |
| Purchase costs | exceed 15% of the personnel costs (travel and subsistence, | | |
| | equipment and other goods, works and services) | | |
| Table 3.1i: Other | Justifications for 'other costs categories' items (e.g. internally | | |
| costs categories | invoiced goods and services) | | |
| Table 3.1j: In-kind | In-kind contributions from third parties | | |
| contributions | · | | |
| provided by third | | | |
| parties | | | |
| 3.2 Capacity of | Description of the consortium - how it matches the project's | | |
| participants and | objectives, and bring together the disciplinary and interdisciplinary | | |
| | knowledge (trans-disciplinary nature of a consortium, i.e. the | | |



| consortium as a | involvement of stakeholders from SSH, civil society, public | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| whole | administration, economy, policy) | | |
| | Expertise of the consortium in social sciences and humanities, if | | |
| | relevant | | |
| | Expertise of the consortium in open science practices, if relevant | | |
| | Expertise of the consortium in gender aspects of R&I, if relevant | | |
| | Affiliated entities and associated partners, if any | | |
| | Access to critical infrastructure needed for project | | |
| | Complementarity of the members (the value chain, where | | |
| | appropriate) | | |
| | Contribution of each member to the project (valid role of each | | |
| | partner, and adequate resources) | | |
| | Industrial/commercial involvement to ensure exploitation of the | | |
| | results (consistency with the specific measures proposed for | | |
| | exploitation of the results - section 2.2) | | |
| | Explanation of essential participation of other countries and | | |
| | international organisations (not automatically eligible for funding) | | |
| Annexes to | Clinical trials | | |
| proposal part B (if | Financial support to third parties | | |
| required by call) | Security aspects | | |
| | Ethics self-assessment | | |
| Other evaluation | Scope of the application - this application is 'in scope' because it | | |
| questions | corresponds, wholly or in part, to the topic description against which | | |
| | it has been submitted | | |
| | Exceptional funding for third country participants/international | | |
| | organisations – description of their essential participation in the | | |
| | project (if relevant) | | |
| | Do the activities have an exclusive focus on civil applications | | |
| | (activities intended to be used in military application or aims to serve | | |
| | military purposes cannot be funded)? | | |